## **HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT**

Oxbow Hatchery (Idaho) - Spring Chinook

September 1996

#### HATCHERY EVALUATION REPORT

#### Oxbow Hatchery (Idaho) - Spring Chinook

## An Independent Audit Based on Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) Performance Measures

#### Prepared by:

Montgomery Watson 2375 130th Avenue NE Suite 200 Bellevue, WA 98005

#### Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy Bonneville Power Administration Environment, Fish and Wildlife P.O. Box 3621 Portland, OR 97208-3621

Project Number 95-2 Contract Number 95AC49468

September 1996

## **CONTENTS**

Section	1 Executive Summary1-1
Section	2 Facility Description2-1
Section	n 3 Compliance Status
Section	1 4 Remedial Actions4-1
Section	5 Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries5-1
Section	n 6 Annual Operating Expenditures6-1
	List of Tables
Table	
1	Summary Program Information for Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook
2	Compliance with Performance Measures: Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook
3	Remedial Actions Required at Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook
4	Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds and Hatcheries: Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook
5	Annual Operating Expenses: Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook
6	Annual Operating Expenses - Oxbow Hatchery

### **Executive Summary**

This report presents the findings of the independent audit of the Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook program. The hatchery is located in Oregon near the Oxbow hydroelectric facility on the Snake River. The hatchery is used for adult collection of spring chinook and adult collection, spawning, and incubation of summer steelhead.

The audit was conducted in 1996-1997 as part of a 2-year effort that will include 67 hatcheries and satellite facilities located on the Columbia and Snake River system in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. The hatchery operating agencies include the U.S Fish and Wildlife Service, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

#### **Background**

The audit is being conducted as a requirement of the Northwest Power Planning Council (NPPC) "Strategy for Salmon" and the Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program. Under the audit, the hatcheries are evaluated against policies and related performance measures developed by the Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT). IHOT is a multi-agency group established by the NPPC to direct the development of new basinwide standards for managing and operating fish hatcheries. The Bonneville Power Administration (BPA) contracted with Montgomery Watson to act as an independent contractor for the audit.

IHOT has established five basic policies that cover: (1) hatchery coordination, (2) hatchery performance standards, (3) fish health, (4) ecological interaction, and (5) genetics. The audit focuses on all these policies, with the exception of hatchery coordination. These policies are set forth in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries (IHOT 1995)*. That document is the source for the performance measures that are the basis of this audit.

#### The Audit Process

The audit was based on the facility management's response to a 109-page questionnaire. This audit form was completed through a five-step process in which:

- Information was obtained from headquarters.
- The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the audit form.
- A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted to inspect facilities, review hatchery records, discuss audit form responses, and develop remedial action plans.
- A compliance report was developed to document the compliance status of each performance measure. This report was then shared with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative.
- This hatchery evaluation report was written to document compliance with IHOT performance measures and develop cost estimates for remedial actions when needed.

#### **Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook Results**

The Oxbow River facility includes four ponds for adult holding, 6 concrete raceways, and incubation facilities. Oxbow Hatchery began operating in 1962 as part of the Idaho Power's mitigation for fishery losses caused by construction of hydroelectric dams on the Snake River in Hells Canyon.

The Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook program was in general compliance with most of the performance measures. In the area of program objectives, the hatchery did not have a Monitoring and Evaluation Plan in place and was not meeting its adult return goal. The audit found that the hatchery was not in compliance with the turbidity criteria, water chemistry and contaminant monitoring requirements, and alarm facilities, which are all facilities requirements. The hatchery was not in compliance with the requirement to rear or acclimate smolts in the subbasin.

The specific areas in which the Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook program requires remedial actions based on the IHOT performance measures are listed below. These remedial actions are listed in alphabetical order without intent of ranking or otherwise assigning priority:

- Conduct (or document) fisheries contribution studies
- Consider installation of flow alarms
- Construct acclimation ponds at Oxbow Hatchery
- Determine if Idaho Power follows IHOT transport protocols
- Develop alarm log
- Develop annual training schedule
- Develop hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan
- Document adult contribution

Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of the interior and exterior of transport vehicles

- Install intake screening system
- Monitor DO and TGP
- Provide rearing in the subbasin
- Review iron data and iron criteria
- Review pH data and pH criteria
- Run analysis for contaminants
- Run analysis for missing water chemistry parameters
- Run analysis for nitrite
- Run analysis for turbidity
- Verify that adequate transport systems are available

Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant to this hatchery (Type 1 in Table 3, Section 4 of this report) were not listed above.

## **Facility Description**

Name: Oxbow Hatchery

Stock/Species: Spring Chinook

Summer Steelhead

**Operating Agency:** Idaho Department of Fish & Game

Funding Agency: Idaho Power

**Location:** Located in Oregon near the Oxbow hydroelectric facility on the Snake

River. The facility is 1,689 feet above sea level.

Address: Oxbow Hatchery

Idaho Department of Fish & Game

Box 200

Oxbow, OR 97840

Hatchery Manager: Ms. Julie Hislop

**Phone:** (541) 785-3459

Fax:

**Purpose:** Oxbow Hatchery began operating in 1962 as part of the Idaho Power's

mitigation for fishery losses caused by construction of hydroelectric

dams on the Snake River in Hells Canyon.

**Production Goal:** Summer Steelhead

Trap and spawn adult steelhead; incubate eggs to the eyed stage for

transfer to other hatcheries.

Rear available excess steelhead eggs to the fry stage for release in the

Snake and Salmon River basins.

**Spring Chinook** 

Trap and hold returning adults for eventual transfer to the Rapid River

Hatchery.

Water Supply: Water used at Oxbow Hatchery is obtained by pumping it from either

the Snake River or an on-site well. The two production pumps produce approximately 6,750 gpm and the two wells produce a total of 200

gpm.

#### Facilities:

Adult Holding: 4 adult holding ponds

Incubation: 24 double stack vertical tray incubators

Early Rearing: None used

Raceways: 6 raceways (not used)

Rearing Ponds:

Satellite Facilities:

## **Compliance Status**

The hatchery audits are based on compliance with written IHOT performance measures. These performance measures are documented in *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries* (referred to as *IHOT 1995* in this report). The purpose of the performance measures is to implement new basinwide policies that provide regional guidelines for operating anadromous hatcheries in the Columbia Basin.

The audit focuses on performance measures for IHOT policies that cover (1) hatchery performance standards, (2) fish health, (3) ecological interaction, and (4) genetics. These performance measures are intended to guide hatchery operations once production is established. For that reason, the hatchery operations audit included broodstock collection, spawning, incubation of eggs, fish rearing and feeding, fish release, equipment maintenance and operations, and personnel training. Production priorities are beyond the scope of this audit.

Based on *IHOT 1995*, a detailed 109-page audit form was developed. The audit form divided the performance measures into six major sections along major program and technical criteria areas. Two additional sections (sections 1 and 8) include general information and expenditure information needed for this Hatchery Evaluation Report and blank forms for additional comments. The following is the basic structure of the IHOT audit form:

Section 1	Performance Measures for General Information and Expenditure Information (PMs General 1-2)
Section 2	Performance Measures for Program Objectives (PMs 1-4)
Section 3	Performance Measures for Facility Requirements (PMs 5-15)
Section 4	Performance Measures for Hatchery Practices (PMs 16-25)
Section 5	Performance Measures for Fish Health Policy (PMs 26-34)
Section 6	Performance Measures for Ecological Interactions (PMs 35-38)
Section 7	Performance Measures for Genetics Policy (PMs 39-43)
Section 8	Blank Forms for Additional Comments

Several performance measures are repeated in various sections of the audit form. These performance measures overlap in *IHOT 1995* and were retained to allow individuals interested in specific portions of the audit (such as Genetics or Fish Health) to determine the compliance status of all performance measures for a given topic in one location. A repeated performance measure is indicated by shaded text.

#### The Hatchery Audit Process

The hatchery audit will be conducted over a 2-year period that concludes in 1997. At each hatchery, a five-step process was used to complete the overall hatchery audit. This process consisted of research and onsite visits. The site visit at the Oxbow Hatchery was conducted on September 17, 1996.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Integrated Hatchery Operations Team (IHOT) 1995. *Policies and Procedures for Columbia Basin Anadromous Salmonid Hatcheries*, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, Oregon.

The following is the five-step audit process:

- 1. Information was obtained from headquarters.
- 2. The hatchery manager was asked to fill out and return the **Audit Form**.
- 3. A 1-2 day site audit visit was conducted at each hatchery. During that visit an audit team inspected facilities, reviewed hatchery records, discussed audit form responses, and developed remedial action plans when appropriate.
- 4. During the site visit, the compliance status of each performance measure was discussed with the hatchery manager and IHOT representative. A portion of the Hatchery Evaluation Report was sent to the hatchery manager following the audit visit as a **Compliance Report**. That Compliance Report is Table 2 of this report.
- 5. Information from steps 1-4 was used to prepare a draft **Hatchery Evaluation Report**. This draft report was submitted to the operating agencies for review of the information used to determine compliance. Based on review and comments, a final Hatchery Evaluation Report was developed. The final report documents the compliance of a particular hatchery with the IHOT performance measures and presents cost estimates to correct any deficiencies.

#### Compliance Status of Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook

The following table includes information on life-stages that are held on this facility for some portion of their rearing cycle (Table 1). For multi-facility programs, summary cost and contribution data is presented at the facility where rearing occurs. For the compliance status relating to performance measures that do not occur at this hatchery, please refer to the Hatchery Evaluation Reports for the hatcheries and stocks listed in Table 1. A check mark ( $\checkmark$ ) indicates that the specific life-stage is held at this facility.

This section documents the compliance status of the Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook program. Each performance measure is presented in a table taken from the audit form (Table 2). The compliance status is identified by the following categories:

- N/A (not applicable)
- Yes (in compliance)
- ? (unknown; generally due to unavailability of information to determine compliance)
- **No** (not in compliance).

Remedial actions are suggested for performance measures not in compliance. These remedial actions are grouped into categories and listed in Section 4 of this report, where the cost of the required remedial actions is also presented.

Table 1 Summary Program Information for Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook

Component		Location of Adult Holding, Spawning, Incubation, and Rearing													
	Hells Canyon Trap	Oxbow Hatchery	Rapid River Hatchery	Hells Canyon Boat Ramp											
Adult Collection	~														
Adult Holding		~	<b>v</b>												
Spawning			<b>✓</b>												
Fertilization			<b>✓</b>												
Incubation		<b>✓</b> <sup>(a)</sup>	<b>v</b>												
green-to-eyed			<b>v</b>												
eyed-to-hatch			<b>v</b>												
Rearing															
fry			<b>v</b>												
fingerlings			<b>✓</b>												
smolts			<b>v</b>												
Release				~											

<sup>(</sup>a) Incubation can occur at Oxbow when Rapid River is at full production.

Description of Performance Measure	(	Complian	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	7	
the hatchery programs outlined in a subbasin nagement plan?		~	-		Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and FERC agreement between Idaho Power and IDFG	
ne hatchery operating under a current hatchery rational plan?		~			IHOT Operations Plan and Oxbow Hatchery Operations Guidelines	
s it understood by staff?		~				
s it being followed?		~		<u>.</u>		
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?						
Oo you have a written monitoring and evaluation plan?				~		Develop hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan
ult contribution to fisheries, spawning grounds, and chery			<b>V</b>		Review of records	Document adult contribution
ılt pre-spawning survival as compared with ıblished goal	~				Adults transferred to Rapid River	
-take as compared with established hatchery goal	~				Adults transferred to Rapid River	
en-egg to eyed-egg survival as compared with blished goal	~				Adults transferred to Rapid River	
d-egg to fry survival as compared with established	~				Adults transferred to Rapid River	
to smolt survival as compared with established goal	~				Adults transferred to Rapid River	
duction as compared with established goal	~				Adults transferred to Rapid River	
cent survival (smolt to adult) as compared with blished goal	~				Adults transferred to Rapid River	
nber of eggs, fry, fingerlings, smolts, and/or adults neet basinwide needs	~				Review of records/Discussion	

<b>Description of Performance Measure</b>	(	Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
perature						
Ooes your water temperature meet the criteria for pawning?	•				Adults transferred to Rapid River; temperature too warm to spawn	
loes your water temperature meet the criteria for acubation?	•					
Ooes your water temperature meet the criteria for earing?	~					
solved gases						
s the oxygen level near saturation?			~		Review of records/Discussion	Monitor DO
s the dissolved nitrogen level less than saturation?			~		Review of records/Discussion	Monitor TGP
emistry						
ammonia (un-ionized) Carbon Dioxide Chlorine		•	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			Run analysis Run analysis
H Copper		<i>y</i>		<b>'</b>		Review pH criteria and operations
lydrogen Sulfide con iinc		~		~		Review iron criteria and operations
bidity						
Poes your turbidity meet the criteria?			~		Review of records/Discussion	Run analysis

Description of Performance Measure	(	Compliar	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		- Company
alinity and hardness						
Ooes your alkalinity and hardness meet the criteria?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
ite						
oes your nitrite meet the criteria?			<b>✓</b>		Review of records/Discussion	Run analysis
Contaminants						
aldrin Indrin Dieldrin Ieptachlor Chlordane Iethoxychlor Lindane Ialathion Suthion			***********		No information on river water	Run analysis for contaminants
nogens						
What portions of the hatchery have disease-free water?	<u>.</u>					
Adult holding Incubation	•			•	Short-term holding at dam prior to transport to Rapid River Hatchery Adult transported to Rapid River Hatchery	
Early rearing Rearing Others	~				same as above same as above	

Description of Performance Measure	(	Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	Tion companies	Compliance
rm Systems						
To the following areas have alarms?						
Intake		~			Adults are shipped to Rapid River Hatchery; little of this program occurs at	Consider installation of flow and security alarms
Large rearing ponds and adult holding ponds Raceway headboxes and rearing ponds Incubation facilities Quarantine areas and facilities		V		\( \times \)	this hatchery Inspection of facilities/ Discussion Inspection of facilities/ Discussion Inspection of facilities/ Discussion Inspection of facilities/ Discussion	
Water treatment systems Security				~	Inspection of facilities/ Discussion Inspection of facilities/ Discussion	
are there outside systems and buzzers in on-site esidences?		~			Discussion	
are water flow alarms checked daily?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
are all other alarms checked weekly?		~			Discussion	
s there a log of alarms for emergencies, tests, and naintenance requirements?				~	Review of records/Discussion	Develop alarm log
re telephone pagers used?			~		Manual calls as a backup	
ılt collection and holding facilities						
To you meet the adult holding criteria?		~			Review of records/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(	Complian	ice Stati	1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	
ubation facilities						
'ype 1: Fal Incubator stacks(18) Do you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	~				Not used for this program	
ype 2: Old Health stacks (6) No you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	~				Not used for this program	
ring facilities						
ype 1: <u>Start vats</u> No you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	~				Not used for this program	
ype 2: <u>Raceways</u> No you have an adequate number of units for the verall program?	~				Not used for this program	
eening facilities						
To you meet the approach velocity criteria?				~	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	Install intake screening system
are the fish screens regularly cleaned?				~	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
Ooes the screen mesh meet screen opening criteria?				~	Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
re rearing containers double screened for fish that hould not be released to adjacent water?	~				Inspection of facilities/Discussion	
dator control facilities						
are your predation control facilities effective?	~				Inspection of facilities/Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	(	Compliar	ice Stati	1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
d storage facilities and quality control						
Does the storage of dry/semi-moist/moist foods dry<12%; semi-moist 12-20%; moist >20% moisture) ollow food manufacturer's recommendations?	~				No feeding occurs at this hatchery	
Poes a regional quality control officer oversee roduction procedures and monitor:						
Verification by feed manufacturer that ingredients meet specifications?	~					
Ensure feed does not contain unwanted drugs or other additives?	~					
Analyze ingredients contained in the final food product to ensure that feed specifications have been met?	•					
are the foods stored and handled according to the ollowing criteria?						
Moist pellets should not exceed 10 °F at point of delivery.	~					
Moist pellets should be removed from freezer just prior to feeding.	~					
Do not leave buckets of feed or feed containers outside exposed to light or heat.	~					
Open bags of feed should be fed within 1 to 2 days except when feeding small groups of fish.	~					
Automatic feeder hoppers and bulk storage facilities should be insulated against excessive temperatures (80°F and above).	•					

Description of Performance Measure	(	Complia	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		_
ease facilities						
To the release facilities ensure that fish are not ubjected to adverse conditions?	~				No fish release from this facility into river	
ution abatement facilities						
On the pollution abatement facilities meet all federal and state regulations (or good engineering practice)?	~				No feeding	
re pollution abatement facilities operated correctly?	~					
nsportation facilities						
are the transport systems adequate to meet IHOT erformance measures for transportation practices?			~		Idaho Power hauls fish	Verify that adequate transport systems are available

Description of Performance Measure	(	Complian	ice Stati	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	
odstock selection practices						
the donor selection process document attached?	~				Existing program; does not apply	
Vas the donor selection outline followed in selecting he hatchery broodstock?	·				Existing program; does not apply	
to PM #40 in Genetics Section						
wning practices						
Vere the appropriate number of spawners, male/female atios, and fertilization protocols used?	~				No spawning at this facility	
to PM #42 in Genetics Section						
ibation practices						
specific incubation standards listed in the hatchery rations plan?	~				No incubation at this facility	
incubation practices written?	~					
bation Type 1:(see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?	~					
bation Type 2:( (see PM #8) you meet the loading and flow criteria?	~					

Description of Performance Measure	(	Complian	ce Stati	us	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	<sup>*</sup>	•
ring practices						
specific rearing standards listed in the hatchery rations plan?	~				No rearing at this facility	
rearing practices written? tearing Unit Type 1:( (see PM #9)	~					
Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	7					
tearing Unit Type 2:( (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria? Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	~					
tearing Unit Type 3:( (see PM #9)						
Do you meet the density and DI criteria?  Do you meet the Loading and FI criteria?	\( \times \)					
olt quality						
Do you produce a high quality smolt?	~				Discussion	

<b>Description of Performance Measure</b>	(	Compliar	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A Yes ? No	-				
health management practices						
re the monthly hatchery monitoring visits being inducted? (PM #26)	~				Adults transfered to Rapid River	
re the annual broodstock inspections being conducted? M #27)	~				Adults transfered to Rapid River	
there pathogen-free water and are the sanitation occdures being followed? (PM #28)	~				Adults transfered to Rapid River	
e the following water quality parameters within teria? (PM #5a-5h)						
Water temperature				~	Review of records/Discussion	Adults transported to Rapid River Hatchery
Dissolved gases			~		Review of records/Discussion	Run analysis for DO and TGP
Chemistry			~		Review of records/Discussion	Run analysis for missing chemistry
Turbidity			~		Review of records/Discussion	parameters
Alkalinity and hardness		<b>/</b>	Ţ		Review of records/Discussion	
Nitrite			~		Review of records/Discussion	Run analysis for nitrite
Contaminants			~		Review of records/Discussion	Run analysis for contaminants
re rearing standards being followed? (PM #19)	~				Adults transfered to Rapid River	
re egg and fish transfer/release requirements met? M #31)	~				Adults transfered to Rapid River	

Description of Performance Measure	(	Complian	ice Stati	1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	<b>K</b>
s hatchery performance meet requirements ined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas?						
cent smoltification	ļ					
o you measure percent smoltification?	~				Discussion	
Did you meet the smoltification criteria?	~				Discussion	
ring density (prior to release)						
Did you meet the rearing density criteria just prior to elease?	~				See Rapid River Hatchery	
ease condition (at release)						
Did you meet all disease regulations just prior to elease?	~				See Rapid River Hatchery	
nber (at release)						
id you meet the release number goal?	~				See Rapid River Hatchery	
at release						
oid you meet the size goal?	V				See Rapid River Hatchery	
es of release						
Did you meet the release date goal?	~				See Rapid River Hatchery	
ation of release						
id you release the fish at the specified location?	~				See Rapid River Hatchery	
fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin?						
are the fish reared in the subbasin? are the fish acclimated in the subbasin?				~	Discussion Discussion	Provide rearing in the subbasin Construct acclimation ponds near release area
ne release strategy appropriate for the program?	~				See Rapid River Hatchery	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	<u> </u>	•
nsportation facilities						
To transportation equipment and personnel receive isinfection before and after use?		~			Discussion	
the fish tank interior disinfected using a solution of 00 PPM active chlorine for 30 minutes minimum or ormaldehyde gas generation method (relative humidity f 60% for 2 hrs)?		~			Discussion	
Is the exterior of the fish transport vehicle disinfected using high pressure steam (115-130°C), high temperature acid, or with 200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes?			•		Discussion	Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of the interior and exterior of transport vehicle
the fish transport vehicle (cab) disinfected using 600 pm quaternary ammonia compounds (1.5 ml of 50% tock solution/liter water)?			•		Discussion	
s other equipment disinfected including fish pumps, ets, egg sorters, waders, boots, rain gear, hoses and ther equipment using one of the following solutions?		~			Discussion	
200 ppm chlorine for 30 minutes 600 ppm quaternary ammonia compound for 30 minutes 200 ppm iodophor solution for 10 minutes		V			Discussion	
To personnel wear protective garments when handling sh eggs or cultural water?		•			Discussion	
On the fish transport truck/chassis and tank/unit receive in inspection and service prior to the release season?			•		Discussion	Determine if Idaho Power follows IHOT Transport protocols
s a daily service inspection completed before starting p and leaving for the day?			~		Discussion	Determine if Idaho Power follows IHOT Transport protocols

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	_	
nsportation facilities						
Ooes the fish transport unit receive an inspection prior ploading?			•		Discussion	Determine if Idaho Power follows IHOT transport protocols
loes a pre-loading inspection covering: tank water evel, pumps or aerators, oxygen injection system ettings, displacement gauge, and truck loading/hauling ensity tables checked and reviewed occur prior to bading fish in the transport unit?			•		Discussion	Determine if Idaho Power follows IHOT transport protocols
On hauling criteria include checking the fish 45 minutes of 1 hour after loading?			•		Discussion	Determine if Idaho Power follows IHOT transport protocols
When fish are active and systems are functioning roperly, is the oxygen concentration reduced and naintained at approximately 8 ppm?			•		Discussion	Determine if Idaho Power follows IHOT transport protocols
s water temperature in the transportation unit naintained within the 42-48 °F range?		•			Discussion	
To fish releasing procedures include the following riteria?			•			Determine if Idaho Power follows IHOT transport protocols
Releasing the fish at the correct release site or into the correct water body.			•		Discussion	Determine if Idaho Power follows IHOT transport protocols
Tempering or the difference between the liberation tank and the target water body should not exceed 10°F.			•		Discussion	Determine if Idaho Power follows IHOT transport protocols
The liberation hose should be angled so that fish gently hit the water. Using a tripod is a method of ensuring the hose will stay at the proper angle.			•		Discussion	Determine if Idaho Power follows IHOT transport protocols

Description of Performance Measure	(	Complian	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	•
luation practices						
Itas the hatchery conducted fishery contribution studies o:						
Determine the requirements for evaluating and improving management programs?				•	Discussion	Conduct fishery contribution studies
Develop guidelines that define the geographical area and identify component stocks (hatchery and/or wild) that comprise the management unit?				•	Discussion	
Develop guidelines that define if the proper stocks of fish are currently being used?				•	Discussion	
Determine which management units contribute to a specific fishery and the time periods of those contributions?				•	Discussion	
Determine the relative contributions of the various management units to a specific fishery over the different time periods?				•	Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	
ning practices						
Does the hatchery have a training schedule for its staff?	-			•	Review of records/Discussion	Develop annual training schedule
Does each staff member have a personal training plan approved by a supervisor and reviewed annually?		•			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery routinely exchange training details between other hatcheries and agencies?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery encourage and reward off-duty training of staff?		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Does the hatchery conduct monthly staff meetings?	~				Only 1 full-time staff	

Description of Performance Measure	(	Complian	ice Stati	1S	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	
monthly hatchery monitoring visits being ducted by a qualified fish health specialist as cribed below?						
onduct visit at least monthly	~				Only adult collection occurs at this hatchery	
Ionitoring conducted by qualified fish health specialist	~				natcher y	
xamine a representative sample of healthy and noribund fish from each lot.	~					
eview fish culture practices with hatchery manager.	~					
eport finding and results of necropsies on standard orm.	~					
ecommend appropriate drug or chemical treatment.	~					
ummarize fish health status or stock prior to release or ransfer to another facility.	~					
all of the functions of the hatchery yearly itoring visits being completed as described below?						
nnually examine each broodstock for the presence of eportable viral pathogens.	~				Adults transfered to Rapid River Hatchery	
nnually screen each salmon broodstock for the resence of <i>Renibacterium salmoninarum</i> .	~					
Conduct inspection by or under the supervision of ualified fish health specialist.	>					

Description of Performance Measure	(	Compliar	nce Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Remedial Action Need Non-Compliance Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ne hatchery following accepted sanitation cedures?						
re there any sources of pathogen-free water, especially or incubation and early rearing?	~				Only adult collection occurs at this hatchery	
re the hatchery sanitation procedures understood and eing followed as described below?						
Disinfect/water harden eggs in iodophor?	~					
Are foot baths containing disinfectant placed at the incubation facility's entrance and exit?	~					
Is equipment and rain gear utilized in broodstock handling or spawning sanitized prior to its use elsewhere in the hatchery?	•					
Is equipment used to collect dead fish sanitized prior its use in another pond and/or lot of fish?	~					
Is equipment, including vehicles used to transfer fish between facilities, disinfected prior to use with any other fish lots or at any other location?		~			Inspection of facilities/ Discussion	
Are rearing vessels sanitized after fish are removed and prior to introducing a new fish lot or stock?	~					
Are dead fish properly disposed of?		~			Inspection of facilities/ Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status			IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		_
water quality parameters being followed?  The the following water quality parameters within riteria? (PM #5a-5h)  Water temperature Dissolved gases Chemistry Turbidity Alkalinity and hardness Nitrite Contaminants	V	V	111		Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion Review of records/Discussion	Fish transported to Rapid River Run analysis Run analysis Run analysis Run analysis Run analysis
o to PM #21						
incubation and rearing standards being followed?  Are the incubation practices following the IHOT incubation criteria? (PM #18)	~				No incubation	
Are the rearing practices following the IHOT criteria? (PM #19)  io to rearing practices PM #18-PM #19	•				No rearing	
egg and fish transfer/release requirements ?		~			Transportation by Idaho Power	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		
ne hatchery's program outlined in a subbasin nagement plan?		•			Columbia Basin System Planning Production Plan and FERC agreement between Idaho Power and IDFG	
io to subbasin plan PM #1	l	!				
ne hatchery operating under a current hatchery rational plan?		~			HOT Operations Plan and Oxbow Hatchery Operations Guidelines	
o to operational plan PM #2						
hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan in place?				<b>V</b>		Develop monitoring and evaluation plan
to to hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan PM #3						

Description of Performance Measure	(	Complian	ice Statu	ıs	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		<b>F</b>
the hatchery program meet requirements blished in the regional hatchery policies and						
asin planning documents in the following areas: es, stock, broodstock collection location,						
dstock numbers, broodstock collection strategy, spawning and egg-take protocols?						
pes the hatchery program meet the requirements for e following?						
Species protocols (PM #4a)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Stock protocols (PM #4a)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock collection location protocols (PM #41)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock numbers protocols (PM #42)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Broodstock collection strategy protocols (PM #41)		~			Review of records/Discussion	
Spawning protocols (PM #42)	-				No spawning	
Egg-take protocols (PM #42)	-				No spawning	

Description of Performance Measure	(	Complian	ice Statu	IS	Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		Compliance
s the hatchery's performance meet requirements ined in the regional hatchery policies and in basin and hatchery plans for the following areas: eent smoltification, rearing density, disease dition, and the number, size date(s), and location of ase?						
ercent smoltification (PM #22a1)	~				Releases by Rapid River Hatchery	
earing density (PM #22a2)	~					
visease condition (PM #22a3)	~					
Sumber at release (PM #22a4)	~					
ize at release (PM #22a5)	~					
ate of release (PM #22a6)	~					
ocation of release (PM #22a7)	~					
fish reared in the subbasin or acclimated in the basin?				~	Discussion	See PM #22b
PM #22b						
ne release strategy appropriate for the program?  PM #22c					Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		Yes	?	No	1	_
new programs, has a broodstock collection plan n developed?						
the broodstock collection plan written?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a non-captive broodstock program:	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?						
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?	~				Existing Program; does not apply	
or a captive broodstock program:						
Were captive brood progeny excluded as donors for propagating the next generation of the captive broodstock program?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Were full-sib crosses avoided?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
s the broodstock collection plan understood and being ollowed by staff?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
a new program, was the donor selection outline owed in selecting the hatchery broodstock?						
s a donor selection plan written?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Vas the donor selection outline followed in selecting ne broodstock?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	
Vas the target stock recommended in the donor election process actually used?	•				Existing Program; does not apply	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
		Yes	?	No	1	-
existing programs, were the broodstock collection edures followed?						
the broodstock collection plan written?		~				
oes the broodstock collection plan follow the uideline:						
Was an unbiased, representative sample collected?	<u>.</u>	~			Discussion	
Was the recommended number of broodstock collected?				•	Discussion	Improve adult returns
Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?		~			Discussion	
Were the broodstock collection procedures in hatchery operation plan understood and followed?		<b>v</b>			Discussion	

Description of Performance Measure	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No		_
s the appropriate number of spawners, male/female os, and fertilization protocols used?						
are the spawning protocols written?	•				Spawning at Rapid River Hatchery	
are daily or weekly spawning logs available?	~					
Vas the appropriate number of spawners used?	~			<u> </u> 		
Did you attempt to spawn all collected broodstock and andomize mating with respect to age class, and other raits?	•					
Vas the sex-ratio within the limits given in the erformance standards?	•					
Vere the fertilization protocols followed?	•					
the hatchery needed to reduce the number of eggs etained, was this done by representative sampling of ach male/female cross?	~					

<b>Description of Performance Measure</b>	Compliance Status				Basis for Compliance or Non-Compliance	Remedial Action Needed for Compliance
	N/A	Yes	?	No	1	_
ere a genetics monitoring and evaluation program lace?						
a genetics monitoring and evaluation program vailable?	~				See Rapid River Hatchery	
oes the plan address the following elements listed in HOT:						
Does the program have elements needed to meet evaluation goals 1-4?	~					
Has a qualified geneticist reviewed and endorsed the program (goal 5)?	~					
Will the program collect the data and maintain the records needed to evaluate compliance on an ongoing basis (goal 5)?	~					
Is the program understood and followed by staff?		~			Discussion	

#### **Remedial Actions**

Based on the compliance status for each performance measure, remedial actions were developed. The required remedial actions are organized into five categories. The types of categories range across a spectrum from those actions that are beyond human control, to those that require a change in agency policy or procedures, to those that involve a significant capital cost to put in place. The following are the five types of remedial actions identified under phase 1 of the audit:

The Five Types of Remedial Actions

Туре	Description
1	Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery
2	Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures
3	Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval
4	Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures
5	Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time

#### Remedial Actions at Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook

This section presents the corrective actions required to bring the Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook program into compliance with IHOT performance measures. The remedial actions suggested here are just that, <u>suggestions</u> developed by the Montgomery Watson Audit Team. For some non-compliance areas, other remedial actions could be proposed. The required remedial actions are cross-referenced to each IHOT performance measure that was not in compliance. Where appropriate, the costs associated with the remedial actions are also presented (Table 3).

The cost estimates presented in this section are based on professional experience from similar projects. In most cases, only a lump-sum figure is presented, and detailed take-off lists have not been prepared. The cost estimates are essentially order of magnitude estimates ( $\pm$  40%).

More importantly, the suggested remedial activities may also present several levels of action. Optional actions have been listed for several problems. These optional actions are desirable for either operational or safety considerations.

Table 3. Remedial Actions Required at Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs <sup>1</sup>
Type 1 - Non-compliance issues resulting from items beyond human control or Performance Measures not relevant for this hatchery		
Improve adult returns		41
Type 2 - Remedial actions requiring changes in agency policies or procedures		
Develop hatchery monitoring and evaluation plan		3
Document adult contribution		4a
Verify that adequate transport systems are available		15
Follow IHOT protocols for disinfection of the interior and exterior of transport vehicles		23
Determine if Idaho Power follows IHOT transport protocols		
Conduct (or document) fisheries contribution studies		24
Develop annual training schedule		25
Type 3 - Remedial actions requiring changes in monitoring coverage or interval		
Monitor DO and TGP		5b
Run analysis for missing water chemistry parameters		5b
Run analysis for turbidity		5d
Run analysis for nitrite		5g
Run analysis for contaminants		5h

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Remedial Action Required	Cost	PMs¹
Type 4 - Remedial actions requiring significant capital expenditures		
Consider installation of flow alarms	\$10,000	6
Develop alarm log	\$1,000	6
Install intake screening system	\$70,000 - \$100,000	10
Construct acclimation ponds near release area		
1 acclimation pond @ \$1,000,000 per site	\$1,000,000	22b
Type 5 - Remedial actions that may require significant capital expenditures but are not clearly definable at this time		
Review pH data and pH criteria		5c
Review iron data and iron criteria		5c
Provide rearing in the subbasin		22b

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PMs are performance measures that were extracted from the IHOT 1995 report. The IHOT performance measures are listed in Table 2 (Section 3 of this report) in numerical order.

Section 5

# Hatchery Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries

This section presents the audit findings for the Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook program contribution of adult fish to fisheries, local fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatcheries. Data is reported by broodyear. A broodyear refers to the adult contribution from the eggs produced from a single group of spawning adults. For some species, this may include fish caught as 2-, 3-, 4-, 5-, and 6-year old fish. Because of the return distribution and data processing delays, the complete adult contribution for a given broodyear may not be available until 4 to 5 years after the fish have been released from the hatchery.

Table 4. Adult Contribution to Fisheries, Spawning Grounds, and Hatcheries:
Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook

Year	Fisheries <sup>1</sup>	Spawning Grounds <sup>1</sup>	Hatchery <sup>1</sup>	Total Combined Contribution <sup>2</sup>	Smolt to Adult Survival (percent)
	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	(Broodyear)	
1981					
1982					
1983					
1984					
1985					
1986					
1987					
1988	See Rapid River Hatchery	See Rapid River Hatchery	See Rapid River Hatchery	See Rapid River Hatchery	See Rapid River Hatchery
1989	See Rapid River Hatchery	See Rapid River Hatchery	See Rapid River Hatchery	See Rapid River Hatchery	See Rapid River Hatchery
1990	See Rapid River Hatchery	See Rapid River Hatchery	See Rapid River Hatchery	See Rapid River Hatchery	See Rapid River Hatchery
1991					
1992					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data obtained from Missing Production Groups Annual Report or from the Regional Mark Information System database.

Oxbow Hatchery 5-1 IHOT Audit Spring Chinook 10/28/96

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Total combined adult contribution; presented when it is not possible to subdivide the contribution into fisheries, spawning grounds, and hatchery contributions.

## **Annual Operating Expenditures**

The level and detail of annual operating expenditures varies widely depending on hatchery, operating agency, and funding source. When provided, expenditures were presented in terms of personnel costs, operating costs (power, feed, supplies), capital costs, indirect costs charged to the federal government, third-party costs, and other costs. These cost components were summed to determine a total hatchery annual cost. Based on discussion with the hatchery manager, the percent of total hatchery costs allocated to a given program was estimated. The total hatchery costs and the percent of hatchery costs allocated to a given program were used to compute the cost of a given program. Table 5 shows the annual operating expenses for the Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook program. For programs that occur at more than one facility (as shown on Table 1 in Section 3 of this report), the cost breakdown for the component(s) at each facility is presented in a separate table (Table 5a).

Table 5. Annual Operating Expenses: Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook

Hatchery	1993	1994	1995
1. Oxbow Hatchery	\$78,654	\$67,255	\$67,244
2. Rapid River Hatchery	\$564,763	\$729,466	\$386,608
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Program Costs	\$643,417	\$796,721	\$453,852

The total expenditures for the Oxbow Hatchery are presented in Table 6 by program. The detailed breakdown of program expenditures at this hatchery is presented in separate tables (Tables 6a and 6b).

Table 6. Annual Operating Expenses - Oxbow Hatchery

Program	1993	1994	1995
1. Spring Chinook	\$78,654	\$67,255	\$67,244
2. Summer Steelhead	\$110,100	\$94,143	\$94,128
3.			
4.			
5.			
Total Hatchery Costs	\$188,754	\$161,398	\$161,372

Table 5a. Annual Operating Expenses: Oxbow Hatchery - Spring Chinook

Expenditure Occurring at Oxbow Hatchery

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs <sup>1</sup>	\$188,754	\$161,398	\$161,372
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs			
Source of Funds			
Idaho Power	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)			
Total Production (lb)			
Program as Percent of Total	41.67%	41.67%	41.67%
Program Costs	\$78,654	\$67,255	\$67,244

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6a. Detailed Expenditures at Oxbow Hatchery by Program

Spring Chinook

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs <sup>1</sup>	\$188,754	\$161,398	\$161,372
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs			
Source of Funds			
	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)			
Total Production (lb)			
Program as Percent of Total	41.67%	41.67%	41.67%
Program Costs	\$78,654	\$67,255	\$67,244

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.

Table 6b. Detailed Expenditures at Oxbow Hatchery by Program

Summer Steelhead

Component	1993	1994	1995
Personnel Costs			
Operational Costs			
Capital Costs			
Indirect Costs			
Lumped Hatchery Costs <sup>1</sup>	\$188,754	\$161,398	\$161,372
Lumped Third-Party Costs			
Total Hatchery Costs			
Source of Funds			
	100%	100%	100%
Program Production (lb)			
Total Production (lb)			
Program as Percent of Total	58.33%	58.33%	58.33%
Program Costs	\$110,100	\$94,143	\$94,128

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> When it was not possible to obtain a detailed cost breakdown from an agency or third party, the undivided costs were entered here.